

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,236	05/06/2002	David Blaker	026032-3873	6340
7590 08/03/2004			EXAMINER	
Steven C Becker			JACKSON, BLANE J	
Foley & Lardner Firstar Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
777 East Wisconsin Avenue			2685	
Milwaukee, WI 53202-5367			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/009,236	BLAKER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Blane J Jackson	2685			
Period for Reply	nis communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing. - If the period for reply specified above is if NO period for reply is specified above. - Failure to reply within the set or extende	or COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (a) In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on 01 Fe	ebruary 2002.				
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance wi	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s 5)□ Claim(s) is/are al 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 7-18, 20 al</u> 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 19</u> is/a 8)□ Claim(s) are subj) is/are withdraw lowed. <u>nd 21</u> is/are rejected. re objected to.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is object	cted to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 May 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the cert application from the	None of: f the priority documents f the priority documents ified copies of the prior ne International Bureau	s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft		4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 11-13, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dykema et al. (U.S. Patent 5,854,593) with a view to Roberts et al. (U.S. Patent 5,225,847).

As to claims 1-4, Dykema teaches a wireless transmitter for transmitting a device activation signal or other data for remotely actuating a device, the device activation signal having an RF carrier frequency and a power level, said transmitter comprising:

A controller operable in an operating mode or providing a tune level signal that identifies the RF carrier frequency of the device activation signal (figure 6a, microcontroller (57), a trainable transmitter such as a garage door opener, column 3, line 49 to column 4, line 22),

A signal generator circuit coupled to the controller for generating the device activation signal, such that the RF carrier frequency corresponding to the controller tune level signal is generated, and (VCO (73), column 7, lines 10-58).

Dykema does not teach a detector circuit for detecting the power level of the device activation signal, the detector circuit providing the detected power to the controller.

Roberts teaches an automatic antenna tuning system that generates a tuning signal in response to the detected forward and reverse power level sourced by a transmitter (figure 1, column 1, line lines 41-53). Roberts teaches this information is coupled to a microcomputer control unit to derive an output control signal to tune the impedance of the transmission antenna assembly (column 1, line 65 to column 2, line 9). Since Dykema also teaches a system with a tunable antenna but restricted to the performance of a tabularized value (column 7, lines 16-33), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dykema with the method of Roberts such that the predicted performance is adjusted by detecting actual performance to maximize transmission and reception characteristics.

As to claims 7 and 14, with respect to claims 1 and 11, Dykema teaches a transmitter and transmitter system further comprising a gain circuit coupled to the signal generator circuit for controlling the power level of the device activation signal, the gain circuit being responsive to a gain signal (figure 6a, VGA (74) responsive to GAIN from the control logic circuit (75), column 9, lines 1-11).

As to claims 9 and 16 with respect to claims 1 and 15, Dykema teaches transmitter and transmitter system further comprising a receiving antenna for receiving

Art Unit: 2685

an activation signal of a remote transmitter and wherein the controller further includes a training routine module operable to store data corresponding to the original remote transmitter activation signal for generating the output signal such that the device activation signal generated by the signal generator circuit corresponds to the activating signal of the remote transmitter (a trainable remote control using antenna (70), receive circuits and microcontroller (57) to receive, characterize and duplicate the frequency and code, column 3, line 49 to column 4, line 22).

As to claims 11 and 13, Dykema teaches a transmitter system for transmitting a device activation signal that includes an RF carrier frequency, modulation scheme, and data code for remotely actuating a device comprising:

A controller operable in an operating mode for providing an output signal that identifies the frequency and code of the device activation signal (column 3, line 49 to column 4, line 22),

A signal generator circuit coupled to the controller for generating the device activation signal such that the RF carrier frequency and data code corresponding to the controller output signal are generated (figure 6a, column 9, lines 1-18),

A transmission antenna assembly being coupled to the signal generator circuit for transmitting the device activation signal, the transmitted activation signal having a power output (column 7, lines 16-42).

Art Unit: 2685

Dykema does not teach a detector circuit for detecting a power level representative of the transmitted activation signal power output, the detector circuit coupled to the controller for providing the detected power level.

Roberts teaches an automatic antenna tuning system that generates a tuning signal in response to the detected forward and reverse power level sourced by a transmitter (figure 1, column 1, line lines 41-53). Roberts teaches this information is coupled to a microcomputer control unit to derive an output control signal to tune the impedance of the transmission antenna assembly (column 1, line 65 to column 2, line 9). Since Dykema teaches a system with antenna tuning to control output power but selected from tabularized data based on received and detected frequency (column 7, lines 16-33 and column 14, line 59 to column 15, line 22), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the wireless remote of Dykema with the power detection and feedback system of Roberts to directly identify the output power, tune the transmitting antenna to maximize its transmission and reception characteristics for each particular frequency in accordance with power levels in local regulations.

As to claim 12, Dykema (and Roberts) teaches the transmission antenna assembly is tunable in response to a tuning signal such that the impedance of the transmission antenna assembly is varied whereby the power output of the transmitted activation signal is controllable (column 7, lines 16-33).

Art Unit: 2685

As to claim 17 with respect to claim 16, Dykema teaches the transmitter system further comprising a user interface and wherein the signal generator circuit includes a voltage-controlled oscillator (figures 1-5, user interface: switches (44), (46) and (47), column 6, line 60 to column 7, line 9 and figure 6a, VCO: VCO (73), column 9, lines 1-7).

As to claim 18, Dykema teaches a method of transmitting a device activation signal for remotely actuating a device, the device activation signal having an RF carrier frequency and a power level, comprising the steps of:

providing a transmission antenna assembly having a tunable impedance, generating the RF carrier frequency,

generating an antenna assembly tuning signal for controlling the antenna assembly impedance (figure 6a, column 7, lines 10-33), and

transmitting the device activation signal.

Dykema does not teach detecting the activation signal power level and adjusting the antenna assembly tuning signal in response to the detected activation signal power level.

Roberts teaches an automatic antenna tuning system that generates a tuning signal in response to the detected forward and reverse power level sourced by a transmitter (figure 1, column 1, line lines 41-53). Roberts teaches this information is coupled to a microcomputer control unit to derive an output control signal to tune the impedance of the transmission antenna assembly (column 1, line 65 to column 2, line

Page 7

Art Unit: 2685

9). Since Dykema teaches a system with antenna tuning to control output power but selected from tabularized data based on received and detected frequency (column 7, lines 16-33 and column 14, line 59 to column 15, line 22), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the wireless remote of Dykema with the power detection and feedback system of Roberts to directly identify the output power and tune the transmitting antenna to maximize its transmission and reception characteristics for each particular frequency in accordance with power levels defined in local regulations.

As to claim 20, with respect to claim 18, Dykema teaches wherein the step of generating the RF carrier frequency further comprises the steps of:

generating a tune level signal for controlling the RF carrier frequency, generating the RF carrier frequency in response to the tune level signal, sensing the RF carrier frequency and,

adjusting the tune level signal in response to the sensed RF carrier frequency (training sequence tuning the VCO to sense the correct frequency/ code: column 16, line 48 to column 18, line 14).

2. Claims 8, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dykema et al. (U.S. Patent 5,854,593) and Roberts et al. (U.S. Patent 5,225,847) with a view to Elder et al. (U.S. Patent 6,658,239).

As to claim 8, with respect to claim 7, Dykema and Roberts teach the controller generates the gain signal in response to the duty cycle and frequency to be output from the VCO (column 9, lines 11-18) but do not teach the controller generates the gain signal in response to the detected power.

Elder teaches a wireless transmitter with automatic antenna tuning and a power controller to signal the power amplifier based a reference level and feedback signal of the detected output power (figures 2a, power controller (17) and figure 5b, closed loop power control, column 10, line 65 to column 11, line 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify Dykema modified with the closed loop power control of Elder to directly determine and maximize output power in accordance with local regulations.

As to claims 10 and 15 with respect to claims 9 and 12, Dykema modified. teaches the transmitter of claim 9 further comprising a gain circuit coupled to the signal generator circuit for controlling the power level of the device activation signal, the gain circuit being responsive to a gain signal provided by the controller (column 9, lines 7-11,

The training routine module being further operable to store a transmission power value where the controller further operable to generate the gain signal is response to the power value.

Dykema modified does not teach where a *starting point* transmission power value from which a target detector voltage is determined and the controller operable to

Page 9

generate the gain signal in response to the target detector voltage and the detected power level.

Elder teaches a wireless remote transmitter with closed loop power control where a reference level (starting point determining a target detector voltage) (figures 2a and 5b, reference level (61)) is summed with the detected power level (full wave rectifier (63)) to generate the gain signal (column 10, line 65 to column 11, line 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement in the training routing of Dykema modified the signaling and power control method of Elder to directly determine and maximize output power in accordance with local regulations.

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dykema et al. (U.S. Patent 5,854,593) with a view to Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent 4343,001).

As to claim 21, Dykema teaches a transmitter for transmitting a device activation signal or other data for remotely actuating a device, the device activation signal having an RF carrier frequency and a phase shift, said transmitter comprising:

A controller operable in an operating mode or providing a tune level signal that identifies the RF carrier frequency of the device activation signal (figure 6a. microcontroller (57), a trainable transmitter such as a garage door opener, column 3. line 49 to column 4, line 22),

Art Unit: 2685

A signal generator circuit coupled to the controller for generating the device activation signal, such that the RF carrier frequency corresponding to the controller tune level signal is generated, and (VCO (73), column 7, lines 10 - 58).

Dykema does not teach a detector circuit for detecting the phase shift of the device activation signal, the detector circuit providing the detected phase shift to the controller.

Anderson teaches a tuned electrically small antenna whereby a microprocessor receives a new frequency to be used, looks up in a table the expected tuning capacitance, switches the appropriate value into the circuit at the antenna, examines the output of a phase discriminator to determine an error signal of the input frequency and tuned circuit and determines an appropriate value change if any (figure 2, discriminator (20), antenna (), column 3, lines 46 to column 4, line 32). Since Dykema also teaches a system with a tunable antenna but restricted to the performance of a tabularized value (column 7, lines 16-33), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dykema with the method of Anderson such that the predicted performance is adjusted by detecting actual performance to maximize transmission and reception characteristics.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5, 6 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Tigwell (U.S. Patent 6,021,319)

Art Unit: 2685

discloses a remote control system having a universal transmitter capable of being programmed. Dykema (U.S. Patent 6,091,343) discloses a trainable trainable transmitter capable of learning and replicating both AM and FM signals. Suman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,903,226) discloses a trainable RF system for remotely controlling household appliances. Suman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,793,300) discloses a second variation of a trainable RF system for remotely controlling household applicances. Duckworth et al. (U.S. Patent 5,646,701) discloses a trainable transceiver provides an integrated trainable transmitter and control system receiver for a vehicle electrical system. Dykema et al. (U.S. Patent 5,699,055) teaches a trainable transceiver with a tuned loop antenna. Duckworth (U.S. Patent 5,699,054) discloses a trainable transceiver including a dynamically tunable antenna.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Owen (U.S. Patent 5,263,183) discloses a radio antenna tuning circuit based on a phase detector to compare the phase of the signal established in the antenna tuned circuit and the excitation signal to produce an error signal.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blane J Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 305-5291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

Page 11

Page 12

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (703) 305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJJ

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600